www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/315/5809/253/DC1



Supporting Online Material for

Picobiliphytes: A Marine Picoplanktonic Algal Group with Unknown Affinities to Other Eukaryotes

Fabrice Not,* Klaus Valentin, Khadidja Romari, Connie Lovejoy, Ramon Massana, Kerstin Töbe, Daniel Vaulot, Linda Medlin*

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lkmedlin@awi-bremerhaven.de (L.M.); not@icm.csic.es (F.N.)

> Published 12 January 2007, *Science* **315**, 253 (2007) DOI: 10.1126/science.1136264

This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods SOM Text Figs. S1 and S2 Tables S1 to S8 References

Supporting Online Material: Material and Methods

1. Sequence analysis

Full length sequences from the picobiliphytes were obtained following Bezsteri et al. 2005 (S4). These sequences were imported into the ARB database, and aligned with its secondary structure model (S1). A selection of species representing each of the six major groups of eukaryotes (table S1) were used to construct a molecular phylogeny to place the picobiliphytes phylogenetically. Positions that occurred in at least 50 % of the taxa were selected for phylogenetic analysis. This resulted in a database of 174 taxa and 1,825 positions (available upon request from LKM). This data set was subjected to the Modeltest program (Version 2.2, ref. S5) in which the AIC criterion selected the general time reversal model of evolution with the following rate parameters: Lset Base=(0.2450 0.124 0.2609), Nst=6, Rmat=(1.0000 2.2127 1.0000 1.0000 3.1084), Rates=gamma, Shape=0.6199, Pinvar=0. A Mr Bayes analysis (http://morphbank.ebc.uu.se/mrbayes/), version 3.1, was run in two parallel runs saving every 1000th tree. We increased the complexity of our MrBayes analysis, which initially used a gamma correction and 6 rate categories with one million generations with 4 chains; then 1.5 million generations with 4 chains; then one million generations with 6 chains; and finally 1.5 million generations with 6 chains with increased temperature to encourage more swapping between chains. A consensus tree was made from the last 100 trees and presented in Fig. 1. A weighted MP analysis was performed in PAUP* (*S6*). For this analysis, a maximum parsimony tree (MP) was obtained in the following sequential analyses. The data set was weighted with a rescaled consistency index and analyzed with heuristic search using 1000 random additions with a NNI branch swapping algorithm. The resulting suboptimal trees were used as input into a second analysis using a TBR branch swapping algorithm to obtain an optimal shortest tree. This tree was loaded into MacClade and the trees rearranged forcing our picobiliphytes into a polytomy with each major lineage of eukaryotes. The trees from each rearrangement were used to constrain another MP analysis and the resulting trees from the constrained analysis were then tested to determine if they were significantly different from the best tree

obtained in the MP analysis. In addition, all other eukaryotes were combined into a polytomy and using a reverse constraint analysis, we tested the non-monophyly of our picobiliphytes. The resulting trees were used as input for the Kishino-Hasegawa Test (table S3). Distance analysis was performed using PAUP*. Dissimilarity values, based on pairwise comparisons of sequences (S7), were transformed into distances using models determined from the Modeltest program. Branching order stability was estimated by bootstrap analysis as above. Stability of the branching order was estimated using bootstrap analysis (BT) (*S8*) for 100 replicates for both the distance and the weighted MP trees because the data set was so large.

2. Probe design and tests

The two probes PICOBI01 and PICOBI02 were designed to target environmental sequences using the ARB software package (*S1*, tables S4, S5). The 18S rDNA database used together with this software is currently maintained by the Oceanic Plankton team at the Station Biologique de Roscoff and contains over 30,000 aligned sequences from Eukaryotes, Bacteria and Archaea. Because the picobiliphyte isolates do not exist in culture, we were not able to perform any positive hybridization tests for these probes. However, using 40% formamide (based on the GC % and positions of mismatches) to adjust stringency, we tested the probes on a range of cultured species (table S6). Among them the species belonging to the divisions Rhodophyta and Cryptophyta were the closest relatives available in culture. This experiment aimed at unveiling some potential unspecific labelling. Results did not show any non-specific hybridization (table S6). However, we observed positive signals on natural samples. For these reasons we believe our probes are specific for the picobiliphytes.

3. Tyramide Signal Amplification – Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (TSA-FISH)

Contributions of picobiliphytes to the total picoeukaryotic community are presented in table S8. These results were obtained by the application of the TSA-FISH technique using the two probes PICOBI01 and PICOBI02 on natural 3 μ m filtered seawater samples harvested at different dates at the Roscoff ASTAN sampling site.

Abundance of cells (cells ml⁻¹) belonging to the picobiliphyte clades and to the total picoeukaryotic community were determined by TSA-FISH following Not et al. (*S2*), with the probes PICOBI01, PICOBI02, and a mix of three general probes (EUK1209R, CHLO01, and NCHLO02), respectively.

Because the picobiliphytes exhibited phycobilin-like pigments, we wanted to assess their contribution to the orange fluorescing cells present in the environment (table S7). Cells from the estuarine sampling station Roscoff Dourduff were simultaneously enumerated and sorted by flow cytometry based on their orange fluorescence (2,253 cells sorted in 3 hours). These cells were then concentrated on Anodisc filters by filtration and prepared for TSA-FISH (*S2*). Cell abundances for the picobiliphytes were estimated individually by TSA-FISH with the probes PICOBI01 and PICOBI02. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridizations have been done in replicate for both probes on the same filter (table S7).

4. Solid phase cytometry (ChemScan)

Helgoland surface samples were collected on a cruise with the RV Uthörn from 30/05-02/06/2006. 1 L samples were collected and subsequently fractionated with a 10 µm, 5 µm and 3 µm polycarbonate filter of 47 mm diameter (Millipore, USA) and finally filtered onto 0.2 µm polycarbonate filters (Millipore, USA) for TSA-FISH and solid phase cytometry (*S3*).

A Chem*Scan* RDI (Chemunex, France) was used for solid phase cytometry. An overlapping scan of the whole filter membrane surface was carried out with an argon laser at a wavelength of 488 nm to detect cells with FITC labelled tyramides. The computer software (MatLab, Matworks, Natick, Mass.), automatically applies different discrimination criteria based on optical characteristics like particle size and signal shape and therewith enables the differentiation between autofluorescent particles, unlabelled cells and labelled target cells.

The positive counted signals are shown as a representation of the filter on the computer screen, termed a scan map (fig. *S2*). The filters were validated

microscopically directly after the scan with an epifluorescence microscope, which is connected to the Chem*Scan* and equipped with a motorized stage. After highlighting a signal with the cursor on the scan map, the motorized stage moves to the corresponding position on the filter and a validation of the counted signals is performed optically.

Supporting Online Material: Results of the phylogenetic analyses

Using a Bayesian analysis of the 18S rRNA sequences from organisms representing each of six major groups of eukaryotes (table S1) aligned by secondary structure in the ARB alignment program with increasing complexity of parallel runs of the MrBayes (MB) program, we found that the runs did not converge on the same tree. Initial analyses placed picobiliphytes sister to haptophytes or as an independent group. Complex analyses found picobiliphytes either sister to haptophytes (posterior probabilities or pp = 55) or to a cryptophyte/katablepharid clade (pp = 100) (Fig. 1). Similarities in the pigment composition and a DAPI staining organelle in the plastid may provide support for the latter sister relationship. Some sister relationships in our analysis, e.g., Heterokonta and Cercozoa, are likely artifacts because this is a single gene phylogeny. We do not recover all sister relationships found in concatenated phylogenies (S10), e.g., because we do not have living cells for additional genes, or similar sister relationships found in rate weighted phylogenies from rRNA genes, e.g., as in van de Peer et al. (S11). Therefore, we used the rRNA gene to test if picobiliphytes fall inside another major eukaryotic group. The independence of our lineage was assessed using the Kashino-Hasagawa test in PAUP (12) (table S3). All trees forcing picobiliphytes into other eukaryote groups were significantly different from the best tree (table S3) and the only group that could be interpreted as being a possible sister to our picobiliphytes is the rhodophytes because the number of steps from the best tree to this constrained tree is the shortest. Bootstrap analyses using a weighted Maximum Parsimony analysis and Neighbor-joining analysis with gamma corrections established from Modeltest found high support for all the terminal taxa but little or no support for sister relationships. A consensus of the last ten trees in our most complex MB analysis showed a weak sister relationship with the rhodophytes (pp = 75).

Supporting Figures

Fig. S1. Use of probes PICOBI01 and PICOBI02 using TSA-FISH to detect marine picobiliphytes. a. Cells from Roscoff Astan (RA, September 26, 2001) and Roscoff Dourduff (RD, September 17, 2002) with overlaid epifluorescence pictures showing the nucleus stained with DAPI in blue (UV excitation), and probe fluorescence in green (blue excitation). The red fluorescence likely originated from the autofluorescence of a phycobilin-containing plastid under blue excitation, such as those from the red algae and the cryptophytes (see b). The paler yellow fluorescence in some of the pictures is residual chlorophyll. **b.** A cell of the cryptophyte *Rhodomonas salina* hybridized with the PICOBI02 probe. The absence of a green color indicates that the probe did not label the cell. The plastid that contains phycobilins shows a clear red autofluorescence similar to that in the cells from the natural samples (a).



Fig S2. Application of probes PICOBI01 and PICOBI02 using TSA-FISH to detect marine picobiliphytes using the Chem*Scan* machine from the less than 3 μ m fraction sample from two locations near Helgoland in the German Bight. The sample is filtered, hybridized with the probe, and scanned by the Chem*Scan* laser for fluorescent signals. The figure on the left represents all fluorescent signals on the filter, and the figure on the right displays only the cells recognized by the probe. A set of discriminate values provided by the Chem*Scan* analysis package based on optical characteristics of the generated signals like wavelengths, signal shape and particle size eliminates all fluorescent signals that cannot be associated with a probe signal. + denotes positive cells subsequently checked in the microscope to verify the fluorescent signal of the cells. A more detailed use of the Chem*Scan* machine can be found in ref *S3*.





Supporting Tables

Table S1. Species names, accession numbers, and taxonomic affiliations of full length sequences used for the phylogenetic analysis presented in Fig. 1. The taxonomic affiliation follows the revised eukaryotic classification in Adl et al. (*S9*).

Species	Accession Nu	nber Super Group	First Rank if known
Acanthamoeba castellanii	AF114438	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Acanthamoeba pustulosa	AF019050	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Hartmannella vermiformis	X75513	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Entamoeba dispar	Z49256	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Entamoeba histolytica	X65163	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Naegleria gruberi	M18732	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Neoparamoeba pemaquidensis	AF371968	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Balamuthia mandrillaris	AF019071	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Phreatamoeba balamuthi	L23799	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Vahlkampfia lobospinosa	M98052	Amoebozoa	Amoebozoa
Dictyostelium discoideum	K02641	Amoebozoa	Mycetozoa
Physarum polycephalum	X13160	Amoebozoa	Mycetozoa
Mesostigma viride	AJ250109	Archeplastida	Chlorophyta
Trebouxia asymmetrica	Z21553	Archeplastida	Chlorophyta
Ulva rigida	AJ005414	Archeplastida	Chlorophyta
Chlorella minutissima	AB006046	Archeplastida	Chlrorophyta

Oogamochlamys gigantea	AJ410465	Archeplastida
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	M32703	Archeplastida
Cyanoptyche gloeocystis	AJ007275	Archeplastida
Glaucocystis nostochinearum	X70803	Archeplastida
Gloeochaete wittrockiana	X81901	Archeplastida
Bangia atropurpurea	L36066	Archeplastida
Chondrus crispus	Z14140	Archeplastida
Gracilaria lemaneiformis	M54986	Archeplastida
Porphyra suborbiculata	AB013180	Archeplastida
Porphyridium aerugineum	L27635	Archeplastida
Arabidopsis thaliana	X52322	Archeplastida
Ginkgo biloba	D16448	Archeplastida
Glycine max	X02623	Archeplastida
Magnolia tripetala	AF206956	Archeplastida
Marchantia polymorpha	AB021684	Archeplastida
Zamia pumila	M20017	Archeplastida
Ammonia beccarii	U07937	Chromoalveolata
Apusomonas proboscidea	L37037	Chromoalveolata
Colpoda inflata	M97908	Chromoalveolata
Paraurostyla weissei	AJ310485	Chromoalveolata

Chlorophyta Chlorophyta Glaucocystophyta Glaucocystophyta Glaucocystophyta Rhodophyta Rhodophyta Rhodophyta Rhodophyta Rhodophyta Streptophyta Streptophyta Streptophyta Streptophyta Streptophyta Streptophyta Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Ciliata

Stylonychia pustulata Tetrahymena nanneyi Trithigmostoma steini Vorticella convallaria Alexandrium fundyense Amblyospora sp. Amoebophrya sp. Amyloodinium ocellatum Cryptosporidium parvum Dinophysis norvegica Gonyaulax spinifera Gymnodinium catenatum Gymnodinium mikimotoi Babesia bigemina Eimeria mitis *Gregarina niphandrodes* Hepatozoon canis Sarcocystis dispersa Sarcocystis muris Theileria youngi

M14600 M98016 X71134 AF070700 U09048 U68474 AF069516 AF080096 L16996 AF239261 AF022155 AY421785 AF009131 X59607 U40262 AF129882 AF176835 AF120115 M34846/M64244 AF245279

Chromoalveolata Chromoalveolata

Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Ciliata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Alveolata/Dinoflagellata Apicomplexa Apicomplexa Apicomplexa Apicomplexa Apicomplexa Apicomplexa Apicomplexa

Theileria cervi	AF086804	Chromoalveolata	Apicomplexa
Chilomonas paramecium	L28811	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Cryptomonas pyrenoidifera	AJ421147	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Cryptomonas paramecium	AJ715468	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Cryptomonas pyrenoidifera nucleomorph	AJ715473	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Hanusia phi	U53126	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Geminigera cryophila	AB058368	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Geminigera cryophila nucleomorph	U53123	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Goniomonas truncata	U03072	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Guillardia theta nucleomorph	AF165818	Chromoalveolata	Cryptophyta
Chrysochromulina polylepis	AJ004866	Chromoalveolata	Haptophyta
Emiliania huxleyi	X82156	Chromoalveolata	Haptophyta
Pavlova virescens	AJ515248	Chromoalveolata	Haptophyta
Pavlova salina	L34669	Chromoalveolata	Haptophyta
Phaeocystis globosa	X77476	Chromoalveolata	Haptophyta
Achlya bisexualis	M32705	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Allomyces macrogynus	U23936	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Bacillaria paxillifer	M87325	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Blastocystis hominis	U51151	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Caecitellus parvulus	AF174367	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta

Cafeteria roenbergensis	AF174364	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
HE001005.33	EF050072	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Chattonella verruculosa	AY788947	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Epipyxis pulchra	AF123298	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Heterosigma akashiwo	U41650	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Labyrinthuloides minuta	L27634	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Mallomonas papillosa	M55285	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Nannochloropsis granulata	AF045041	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Paraphysomonas foraminifera	AB022864	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Phytophthora megasperma	X54265	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Proteromonas lacertae	U37108	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Thraustochytrium kinnei	L34668	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Tribonema aequale	M55286	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Ulkenia profunda	AB022114	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Uroglena americana	AF123290	Chromoalveolata	Heterokonta
Lagenidium giganteum	X54266	Chromoalveolata	Hetrokonta
Laminaria angustata	AB022818	Chromoalveolata	Hetrokonta
Mallomonas caudata	U73228	Chromoalveolata	Hetrokonta
Skeletonema pseudocostatum	X85394	Chromoalveolata	Hetrokonta
Katablepharis japonica	AB231617	Chromoalveolata	Katablepharids

Leucocryptos marina	AB194980	Chromoalveolata	Katablepharids
Giardia intestinalis isolate BAG1	AF199448	Excavata	Diplomonadida
Spironucleus muris	X84231	Excavata	Diplomonadida
Giardia intestinalis	AF473852	Excavata	Dipolomonidae
Astasia longa	AF112871	Excavata	Euglenozoa
Bodo saliens	AF174379	Excavata	Euglenozoa
Bodo caudatus	X53910	Excavata	Euglenozoa
Dimastigella trypaniformis	X76495	Excavata	Euglenozoa
Euglena gracilis	M12677	Excavata	Euglenozoa
Trypanosoma cruzi	AF245381	Excavata	Euglenozoa
Coronympha octonaria	U17504	Excavata	Parabasalidea
Amblyospora connecticus	AF025685	Opistokonta	Fungi
Anurofeca richardsi	AF070445	Opistokonta	Fungi
Aspergillus avenaceus	AB008395	Opistokonta	Fungi
Basidiobolus ranarum	D29946	Opistokonta	Fungi
Candida aaseri	AB013564	Opistokonta	Fungi
Delitschia didyma	AF242264	Opistokonta	Fungi
Dermocystidium salmonis	U21337	Opistokonta	Fungi
Eupenicillium crustaceum	D88324	Opistokonta	Fungi
Microsporidium prosopium	AF151529	Opistokonta	Fungi

Neurospora crassa	X04971	Opistokonta	Fungi
Psorospermium haeckelii	U33180	Opistokonta	Fungi
Rhinosporidium seeberi	AF158369	Opistokonta	Fungi
Septata intestinalis	L19567	Opistokonta	Fungi
Sphaeroforma arctica	Y16260	Opistokonta	Fungi
Thalassicolla nucleata	AF057742	Opistokonta	Fungi
Trichosporon asteroides	AB001729	Opistokonta	Fungi
Udeniomyces megalosporus	D31657	Opistokonta	Fungi
Artemia salina	X01723	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Diaphanoeca grandis	L10824	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Drosophila melanogaster	M21017/M29800	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Homo sapiens	U13369	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Leucosolenia sp.	AF100945	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Littorina obtusata	X94274	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Mnemiopsis leidyi	L10826	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Mus musculus	X82564	Opistokonta	Metazoa
<i>Obelia</i> sp.	Z86108	Opistokonta	Metazoa
Acanthocoepsis unguiculata	L10823	Rhizaria	Acantharea
Acanthometra sp.	AF063240	Rhizaria	Acantharea
Chaunacanthid sp.	AF018158	Rhizaria	Acantharea

Symphyacanthid sp.	AF063242	Rhizaria	Acantharea
Cercomonas ATCC50318	U42450	Rhizaria	Cercozoa
Cercomonas longicauda	AF101052	Rhizaria	Cercozoa
Chlorarachnion reptans	U03477	Rhizaria	Cercozoa
Euglypha rotunda	X77692	Rhizaria	Cercozoa
Paulinella chromatophora	X81811	Rhizaria	Cercozoa
Chlorarachnion reptans	X70809	Rhizaria	Chloroarachniophyta
Chlorarachnion reptans nucleomorph	U03275	Rhizaria	Chloroarachniophyta
Gymnochlora stellata	AF076171	Rhizaria	Chloroarachniophyta
Chloraranion sp. nucleomorph	U58510	Rhizaria	Chloroarachniophyta
Sorites orbiculus	AJ132369	Rhizaria	Foraminiferea
Acrosphaera sp.	AF091148	Rhizaria	Polycystinea
Siphonosphaera cyathina	AF091145	Rhizaria	Polycystinea
Uncultured Polycystinea	AF382824	Rhizaria	Polycystinea
Cryptotermes domesticus	AB032215	unknown	Parabasalidea
BL000921.8	AY426835	unknown	Picobiliphytes
HE000427.214	DQ222872	unknown	Picobiliphytes
HE000803.72	DQ222873	unknown	Picobiliphytes
HE001005.148	DQ222874	unknown	Picobiliphytes
NW414.27	DQ060524	unknown	Picobiliphytes

NOR46.24	DQ060526	unknown	Picobiliphytes
NW617.02	DQ060525	unknown	Picobiliphytes
OR0004.159	DQ222875	unknown	Picobiliphytes
RA000907.33	DQ222876	unknown	Picobiliphytes
RA000907.54	DQ222877	unknown	Picobiliphytes
RA001219.38	DQ222878	unknown	Picobiliphytes
RA000907.18	DQ222879	unknown	Picobiliphytes
RA010613.144	DQ222880	unknown	Picobiliphytes

Clone libraries	Eukaryotic clones	Picobiliphyte clones	% of picobiliphyte
Year, month, location			clones
2000, March, HE*	46	0	0
2000, April, HE*	94	1	1.1
2000, April, RA*	82	1	1.2
2000, April, OR#	64	4	6.3
2000, June, RA*	42	2	4.8
2000, August, HE*	103	1	1
2000, September, RA*	40	7	17.5
2000, September, BL^{\diamond}	71	1	1.4
2000, October, HE*	73	2	2.7
2000, December, HE*	36	0	0
2000, December, RA [•]	34	2	5.9
2000, December, BL^{\diamond}	106	0	0
2001, February/March, HE*	86	0	0
2001, February/March, BL $^{\circ}$	81	0	0
2001, April, RA [◆]	47	0	0
2001, May, RA*	41	0	0
2001, June, RA*	41	2	4.9
2001, June, BL^\diamond	81	0	0
2002, August, NW01 $^{\vee}$	90	3	3.3
2002, August, NW08 $^{\oplus}$	70	1	1.4
2002, August, Z59^	228	28	12.3

 Table S2. Relative abundance of picobiliphyte sequences in clone libraries

* HE = Helgoland, 54°11'N, 7°54'E.(5) * RA = Roscoff ASTAN, 48°46'N, 3°56'E. (4) ⁶ BL = Blanes Bay, 41°40'N, 2°48'E. (6) # OR = Orkney Islands. (5) ^ Z59 = Norwegian Sea, 76°19'N, 3°59'E. (7) ^v NW01 = Canada Basin of the Arctic Ocean, 75°59'N, 156°52'W. (7) [⊕]NW08 = Canada Basin of the Arctic Ocean, 76°46'N, 148°57'W. (7)

Table S3. Results of Kishino-Hasegawa test where the length of a tree with the enforced polytomy of the picobiliphytes with each major eukaryotic group was tested against the best tree where the picobiliphytes was an independent lineage. The monophyly of the picobiliphytes was also tested using a reverse constraint analysis against all eukaryotes in a single clade.

Tree	Length	<u>Length</u> difference	s.d.(difference) e	t	P*
Best Tree	40741				
Cryptophytes	41774	1033	69.24223	14.9186	< 0.0001
Chlorophytes	41295	554	38.96773	14.2169	< 0.0001
Glaucocystophytes	42346	1605	117.60304	13.6476	< 0.0001
Discicristates	42349	1608	120.58334	13.3352	< 0.0001
Haptophytes	42037	1296	98.73351	13.1262	< 0.0001
Entamoebae	41506	765	58.75924	13.0192	< 0.0001
Cercomonads	41348	607	47.62931	12.7443	< 0.0001
Apicomplexa	41209	468	39.48443	11.8528	< 0.0001
Stramenopiles	41644	903	78.57431	11.4923	< 0.0001
Opistokonts	41070	329	44.72071	7.3568	< 0.0001
Rhodophytes	40775	34	5.77536	5.8871	< 0.0001
Monophyly	49736	8995	346.98441	25.9234	< 0.0001

* Probability of getting a more extreme T-value under the null hypothesis of no difference between the two trees (two-tailed test). All values were significantly different at P < 0.05.

Table S4. *In silico* specificity of probe PICOBI01. Clone names, length, and taxonomic affiliation of sequences tested. In addition to the picobiliphyte full length sequences presented in this study, partial sequences available in GenBank, which are at present undetermined but likely represent picobiliphytes, are also shown. Nature and position of mismatches on the closest full-length 18S rRNA non-target sequence/species are also indicated..

PICOBI01				5'- GCG TGA TGC CAA AAT CCG -3'
Target				3'- CGC ACU ACG GUU UUA GGC -5'
Sequence name	length (bp)	Taxonomy	Acc number	Position of mismatches on closest sequences
HE000803.72	1812	picobiliphytes	AY343928	3'5'
HE000427.214	1732	picobiliphytes	DQ222872	3'5'
NW414.27	1776	picobiliphytes	DQ060524	3'5'
RA000907.18	1834	picobiliphytes	DQ222879	3'5'
RA001219.38	1785	picobiliphytes	DQ222878	3'5'
NW617.02	1779	picobiliphytes	DQ060525	3'5'
RA000907.54	1784	picobiliphytes	DQ222877	3'5'
ENI47296.00159	381	picobiliphytes	AY938310	3'5'
ENI42482.00158	543	picobiliphytes	AY938048	3'5'
ENI42482.00072	573	picobiliphytes	AY938005	3'5'
ENI40076.00318	632	picobiliphytes	AY937616	3'5'
BB01_42	593	picobiliphytes	AY885047	3'5'
RA000907.60	546	picobiliphytes	AY295523	3'5'
RA001219.38	543	picobiliphytes	AY295551	3'5'
RA001219.56	543	picobiliphytes	AY295566	3'5'
RA000907.6	548	picobiliphytes	AY295522	3'5'
RA000907.54	546	picobiliphytes	AY295518	3'5'
RA000907.23	405	picobiliphytes	AY295495	3'5'
RA000907.21	548	picobiliphytes	AY295493	3'5'
RA000907.18	547	picobiliphytes	AY295489	3'5'
RA000609.19	548	picobiliphytes	AY295445	3'5'
RA000609.13	547	picobiliphytes	AY295441	3'5'
RA000412.151	546	picobiliphytes	AY295385	3'5'
NOR46.29	1763	picobiliphytes	DQ060523	3' T 5'
RA000907.33	1840	picobiliphytes	DQ222876	3'C5'
NOR50.52	1780	picobiliphytes	DQ060527	3' TC 5'
Lophothalia hormoclados	1677	Rhodophyta	AF373216	3' A G G
Clostridium cellulolyticum	1642	Bacteria	X71847	3' TGT -5'
Linderina pennispora	1753	Fungi	AF007538	3'5'
Palmaria palmata	1771	Rhodophyta	Z14142	3' C- GG- G 5'

Table S5. *In silico* specificity of probe PICOBI02. Clone names, length, and taxonomic affiliation of sequences tested. In addition to the picobiliphytes' full length sequences presented in this study, partial sequences available in GenBank are also shown. Nature and position of mismatches on the closest full-length 18S rRNA non-target sequence/species are also indicated.

PICOBI02				5'- ATA TGC CCG TCA AAC CGT -3'
Target				3'- UAU ACG GGC AGU UUG GCA -5'
Sequence name	length	Taxonomy	Acc	Position of mismatches on closest
	(bp)		number	sequences
NOR46.24	1788	picobiliphytes	DQ06052	3'5'
			6	
RA010613.144	1783	picobiliphytes	DQ22288	3'5'
			0	
OR000415.9	1804	picobiliphytes	DQ22287	3'5'
			5	
HE001005.148	1795	picobiliphytes	DQ22287	3'5'
			4	
RA010613.40	550	picobiliphytes.	AY29570	3'5'
			6	
BL000921.8	1803	picobiliphytes	AY42683	3'C T5'
			5	
Trypanosoma congolense	2217	Euglenozoa	AJ009145	3'AAG -5'
Trypanosoma congolense	2240	Euglenozoa	AJ223563	3'AAAG -5'
<i>Trypanosoma</i> sp.	2229	Euglenozoa	AJ009169	3'AT -GG -5'
Branchiostoma floridae	1778	Metazoa	M97571	3'- -T T G C -5'
Philonema sp.	1749	Metazoa	U81574	3' CG CC 5'

Table S6. Roscoff Culture Collection (RCC: http://www.sb-roscoff.fr/Phyto/RCC/) strains that have been tested using Tyramide Signal Amplification-Fluorescent *In Situ* Hybridization with probes PICOBI01 and PICOBI02. Negative hybridizations for all strains used suggest no unspecific labeling from the probes. The number of mismatches to each probe is listed for each strain.

Class	Species	RCC strain	PICOBI01	PICOBI02	Hybridization
		number	mismatches	mismatches	results
Chlorophyceae	Dunaliella tertiolecta	6*	10	13	-
Prasinophyceae	Micromonas pusilla	114	8	12	-
Prasinophyceae	Micromonas pusilla	451	8	10	-
Prasinophyceae	Ostreococcus tauri	116	5	12	-
Prasinophyceae	Pseudoscourfieldia cf.marina	261	9	10	-
Cryptophyceae	Rhodomonas salina	20*	7	8	-
Cryptophyceae	Rhodomonas baltica	350*	7	10	-
Cryptophyceae	Hemiselmis sp.	439	7	10	-
Cryptophyceae	Hemiselmis sp.	660*	6	10	-
Bangiophyceae	Porphyridium aerugineum	652	9	10	-
Bangiophyceae	Rhodella maculata	655*	8	12	-
Bolidophyceae	Bolidomonas pacifica	205*	10	13	-
Pelagophyceae	Pelagomonas calceolata	100*	11	12	-

^{*}Accession numbers DQ009772, AF508274, U53128, AJ007284, AB045608, AF123595 and U14389, respectively, were used to represent RCC strains for which no 18S rDNA sequence is available.

Table S7. Contribution of picobiliphytes to orange fluorescing cells sorted from a sample taken on September 22, 2004 at the estuarine Dourduff station (close to the Roscoff Astan sampling site, 48°38'N, 3°51'W) in the English Channel. The original concentration of orange fluorescing cells was 322 cells ml⁻¹.

Probes	Probe positive cells (cells ml ⁻¹) *	% of orange fluorescing cells (cells ml-1) *
PICOBI01	59-54	18-17
PICOBI02	96-142	30-44
PICOBI01 + PICOBI02	155-196	48-61

*Cell abundances for picobiliphytes estimated by TSA-FISH, values for the two replicates are given (replicate 1 – replicate 2).

Table S8. Contribution of picobiliphytes to the 3 µm fractionated picoeukaryotic community at the Roscoff Astan, (RA) sampling station in the English Channel as estimated with TSA-FISH and flow cytometry. Samples were filtered, and each filter was cut into sectors and two were hybridized to each probe. Positive controls using universal probes, EUK1209R, CHLO01, and NCHLO02 and no probe controls were made on different sectors of the filter.

Sample	Total	Orange	Pr	obe	Pro	obe	Sum of Probes	% of pico-	% of orange
	picoeukaryotes	fluorescing cells	PICC	OBI01	PICC	DBI02	PICOBI01 &	eukaryotes	fluorescing
	(cells ml-1) #	(cells ml ⁻¹) *	(cells ml-1)		(cells ml ⁻¹)		PICOBI02		cells
							(cells ml ⁻¹)		
			Piece	Piece	Piece	Piece			
			1	2	1	2			
RA010305*	4,804	n.a.	21	20	0	0	21	0.4	n.a.
RA010926*	6,693	98	42	29	63	22	79	1.2	80.6
RA011207*	4,224	209	42	37	42	14	68	1.6	32.5
RA020122*	4,590	187	43	n.a.	22	n.a.	65	1.4	34.8
RA020307*	3,927	119	21	n.a.	21	n.a.	42	1.1	35.3
Average	4,848	153	34	29	30	12	55	1.1	45.8

* Sampling date (year/month/day). Hybridizations showing no positive results (for both probes) were performed on the following summer samples: RA010412, RA010530, RA010628, RA010731, RA010814.. # Cell abundances for picobiliphytes and for the total picoeukaryotes estimated by probes.

* Abundances of cells with orange fluorescence estimated by flow cytometry. n.a. data not available..

Supporting references

- 1. W. Ludwig et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1363 (2004).
- 2. F. Not, N. Simon, I. C. Biegala, D. Vaulot, Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 28, 157 (2002).
- 3. K. Töbe, G. Eller, L. K. Medin, J. Plankton Res. 7, 643 (2006).
- 4. B. Beszteri, E. Acs, L. K. Medlin, *Protist* **156**, 317 (2005).
- 5. D. Posada, K. A. Crandall, Bioinformatics 14, 817 (1998).
- 6. W. P. Maddison, D.R. Maddison, Analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Version 3. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts (1992).
- 7. W. M. Fitch, E. Margoliash, *Science* **155**, 279 (1967)
- 8. J. Felsenstein, Evolution **39**, 783 (1985)
- 9. S. M. Adl et al., J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 52, 399 (2005)
- 10. D. Moreira, H. Le Guyader, H. Phillippe, Nature 405, 69 (2000).
- 11. Y. Van de Peer, et al., J. Mol. Evol. 51, 565 (2000).